

Göttingen, 3 Oct. 1860.

Dear N. Asa Gray,

Yours of Sept. 6. arrived only to-day. My determination of Maydenus huaiifolius (Monte-vides), rests upon complete specimens collected by Regel, differing from Richard's analysis only by bisulcated fruits. I carbon fragments, but cannot spare a flower: but I hope, that this will be sufficient to clear up the question. As to us. 186's of Wright, of which I have only a few young fruits (and no flowers), I considered it to be the same plant, from habit only, not wishing to quit the scanty materials: but having now dissected one of the fruits, I see a different structure and am obliged to your calling my attention upon it. But pray, conceal only my line, and add your name and signature between brackets: for I am not satisfied, whether my specimens be identical with yours, the upper surface of leaves being quite venose, the under with innumerable dimples only, as you will see from the carbon leaf. And I am not able to give a name to my scanty materials. I hope, that this note arrives in time. Yours, very truly
A. J. S. Schenk.

Professor N. Asa Gray,
Cambridge, near
Boston.
New-England.

